a small，number or quantity or time：See De Sacy＇s Relation de l＇Égypte par Abdallatif，pp． 246 and $394 \& c$. ．］$=$ See also 1 in art．شوأ．
2．شَمَّاتُهُ عَلْى الأْمُرْ （erroncously）I incited him，or made hin， to do the thing，or affair．（As，S．，L，K，TA．）$=$
 God rendered，or may Gool render，foul，un－ secmly，or ugly，his face，（K，TA，）and his make． （TA．）
 strained him，or necessitated him，to have recourse， or betake himself，to it ；syn．أْلْجَأُ ；（S，K ；）a dial．var．of oforif（ C ；；）of the dial．of Temeem．
 meaning يُسْيُؤُكُ［q．v．，i．e．It is an evil thing that compels thee to have recourse to the marron of a hoch］．（S．）
 said of a man．（TA．）
：شَ［1 thing；anything；something；some－ what；］a word of well－known meaning：（K：） ［sometimes，in poetry，written and pronounced
 the last sentence but one of this paragraph：الشَّىَّا properly signifies what may be knomn，and that whereof a thing may be predicated：（Mgh，KT ：） accord．to $\mathbf{S b}$ ，it denotes existence，and is a name for anything that has been made to have being， whether an accident，or attribute，or a substance， and such that it may be known，and that a thing may be predicated thercof：（KT ：）MF says that it is app．an inf．n．used in the sense of a pass． part．n．，meaning what is nilled，and meant，or intended，［in which sense \مَشِيْنة（pl． often used，］without restriction to its actuality or possibility of being，so that it applies to that which necessarily is，and that which may be，and that which cannot be；accord．to the opinion adopted by the author of the Ksh：［or，as an inf．$n$ ．in the sense of a pass．part．n．，it may be expl．，agreeably with what is said to be the proper meaning of the verb，as signifying what is caused to be or exist； accordingly，］Er－Rághib says that it denotes nhat－ cever is caused to be or exist，whether sensibly，as material substances，or ideally，as sayings；and Bḍ and others expressly assert that it signifies peculiarly what is caused to be or exist；but Sb says that it is the most general of general terms； and some of the scholastic theologians apply it to what is non－existent；such，however，are over－ come in their argument by its not being found to have been thus used by the Arabs，and by such
 is subject to perish c．xcrpt IImaself（Kur xxviii．
 ［And there is not amything but it glorifies IFim with praising（Kur xvii．46）］，for what is non－ existent cannot be described as perishing nor imagined to glorify God：（TA：）the pl．is $1 /$ 偅， （S．，Mṣb，K，\＆c．，）imperfectly decl．，（Msp，TA，） or rather this is a quasi－pl．n．，（Sb，TA，）respecting
the formation of which there is much difference of opinion［as will be shown hereafter］，（Mşb， TA，）and ${ }^{\text {أَشْيأوَا }}$ ，（S，K，）a pl．pl．［i．e．pl．of
 that next preceding，］（K，）and أَشَاوَى（S，K，） with fet－h to the $g$（MF，TA，）and it is also mentioned as with kesr，（TA，）［and is written in both of my copies of the $\mathbf{S}$ ．أَشَّاوِى ，though if with
 only is meant by J，as is shown by what here follows，］originally أَشَاِيسَ，with three $\sqrt{\text { s，}}$ ，not ．أَشَابئىُ as J says，［or rather as the word is written in copics of the $\mathbf{S}$ ，for J may have held it to be ，as he says that the swas changed into $\mathcal{\checkmark}$ ，thus occasioning the combination of three Ss，so that he held its secondary form to be أَشَاِيىّا，as will presently be shown，］because the first $\mathcal{N}$ is radical，not augmentative，（IB，K，）the medial $\checkmark \mathbb{v}$ of the three being suppressed，and the final one changed into 1 ［though written $\mathbb{G}$ ］，and the initial one changed into $g$ ，（S，）and another form of pl．is أَشَاِيَا，（S．Mṣb，K，）with the preserved，not changed into 9 ［as it is in （TA，）［likewise］a pl．of أَشْ⿻𨈑㇒َ
 the change of $s$ into $v$ and adding 1 ，（TA，）and ，أَشَاوِه，which is strange，（ $L h, K$, ）as there is no •
 the first of these forms，［the quasi－pl．n．］ 2 ＂ most probable opinion is that of $\mathrm{Kh}:$（Msb， TA ：）accord．to him，（S，Mṣb，K，）it is originally
 （K．，）and therefore imperfectly decl．，（S．，）［i．e．］it
 combined in the latter portion being found difficult of pronunciation，the former of them is transposed to the beginning of the word，so that it becomes of the measure shown by its having for its pls．أَشَايَا

 thus a broken pl．，［not a quasi－pl．n．，］its dim．
 accord．to Ks ，it is of the measure أَفْعالُ ，and made imperfectly decl．because of frequency of
 and أسْمَاء would be imperfectly decl．：（S ，K ：）
 fore has a pl．of the measure $\bar{i}{ }^{2}$
 have for its pl．أَشَاوْئ．（S．［Much more respecting this pl．is added in the TA，but it is comparatively unprofitable．］）The dim．of شَ شُشْ is and ＂بشییْ ；（S，K，TA，but only the former in some copics of the $\mathbf{K}$ ，the word being written in other
 accord．to my two copies of the $S$ and accord．to the copies of the $\underset{\sim}{\text { followed in the } T A, ~ i n ~ w h i c h ~}$ it is said to be with teshdeed to the $\mathcal{N}$ ，and the latter accord．to the CK and my MS．copy of the $\mathbf{K}$ ；）or this is a dial．var．of weak authority，（ $\mathbf{K}$, ）
used by post－classical poets in their verses．（MF， TA．）－When a man says to thee，＂What dost thou desire？＂thou answerest，لَ［Nothing］： and when he says，＂Why didst thou that？＂thou answercst，لِّر شَعْءٌ［For nothing］：and when he says，＂What is thine affair？＂thou answerest， ［Nothing］：it is with tenween in every one of these cases．（As，A！！át，TA．）［When one says —— means［It is nought，of no account or weight ；it is not northy of notice，or not worth anything；］it is not a good thing；or it is not a
 فی شُ is a phrase of frequent occurrence，mean－ ing IIe has no concern with the affair；see two exs．in the first paragraph of art．－
 meaning In it is somenhat，or some degree，of length；i．c．it is somerohat long；and is used in the present day in this sense．］－In the phrase بِشَىُ ［i．e．He is better than thou in something；mean－ ing he is somewhat better than thou］．（IJ，L．）－任 is a phrase of the Arabs［app． lit．signifying IIow unminlful of thec is he as to anything！］mentioned by Sb as meaning كَع الشَّكُ ［Dismiss doubt from thee（respecting him as to anything）］：IJ says that is here put in the accus．case as an inf．n．，as though the saying were مَا أَغْفَلَهُ عَنْتُ غُفُولًا，because the verb of wonder does not require to be corroborated by the inf．$n$ ．［proper to it］：（L，TA ：）［or it is a specifi－ cative：］IF says that it is a phrase of dubious meaning；and that the most probable explanation of it is this；that 0 is here lit．interrogative，but in meaning denotative of wonder；and that شثئ is governed in the accus．case by some other word， or phrase，as though the saying were dismiss a thing by which he is not occupied in mind，and dismiss doubt as to his being occupied in mind by it．（TA in art．م．）－ by thing，part by part，bit by bit，piccemeal，inch by inch，drop by drop，little and littic in suc－ cession，by little and little，by degrece，or grad－
 by the alleviation of the $]$［ای＂ pression of the •［in 4 ］，made into one word， أَيْشَّى ：so says El－Fárábec：（Mṣb：）or，［as is commonly the case in the present day，］by reason of frequency of usage，it is contracted into أَيْشْ （TA in art．جرمر，as on the authority of Ks．）－ شَئٌ in the Kur lx． 11 may mean Any one（Bụ， Jel）or more．（Jel．）－［It is also applicd to + The penis of a man；as in the explanation of a phrase mentioned voce ذَنَبْ ；like as its syn．هَنْ is to the same and（more commonly）to the ＂vulva＂of a woman．］－In algebra，it signifies ［4 square root；］a number that is multiplied into itself；which in arithmetic［and in algebra also］is called جَرْ［i．e．جَذْرْ］；and in geometry，ضلع
 used in the Sciences of the Musalmans，＂p．202；） an unhnonn number that is multiplied into itself．

